



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/658,148

09/09/2003

Hideo Kato

15682-003001

5423

26211 7590 06/12/2008

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

P.O. BOX 1022

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

MARTIN, ANGELA J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1795

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/12/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/658,148

Applicant(s)

KATO ET AL.

Examiner

Angela J. Martin

Art Unit

1795

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period **will** apply and **will** expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply **will**, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 and 16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/28/08;3/17/08.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the Remarks filed on February 15, 2008. A new rejection is presented for the following reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-13, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiga et al., JP 08-167424 (machine translation), in view of Tsuji, JP 06-068893 (machine translation).

Shiga et al., teach a fuel cell stack comprising:
a fuel cell stack having a stacked body formed by stacking fuel cell units together and a pair of end plates sandwiching the stacked body therebetween (abstract); electrical heaters disposed near the ends of the stacked body or the end plates, respectively (abstract; Fig. 1, ref. 2); and a control unit which controls the power generation operation in the fuel cell stack (0053-0055), and which is operatively connected to the electrical heaters, wherein the control unit is adapted to operate the electrical heaters (0053-0055). A control apparatus for a fuel cell stack according to claim 1, wherein each of the electrical heaters is disposed between each of the end plates and one of the fuel

Art Unit: 1795

cell units disposed at each end of the fuel cell stack (abstract; Fig. 1, ref. 2). A control apparatus for a fuel cell stack according to claim 1, wherein the fuel cell stack further includes a pair of terminal plates each of which is disposed between each of the end plate and one of the fuel cell units disposed at each end of the fuel cell stack, and wherein each of the electrical heaters is embedded in each of the terminal plates (0041-0042). A control apparatus for a fuel cell stack according to claim 1, wherein each of the electrical heaters is embedded in each of the end plates (0041-0042). A control apparatus for a fuel cell stack according to claim 1, wherein the control unit is adapted to execute the power generation operation in the fuel cell stack in order to supply electrical energy to the electrical heaters (0041-0042). A control apparatus for a fuel cell stack according to claim 1, further comprising temperature sensors for measuring temperature of the fuel cell units, wherein the control unit is adapted to control the electrical heaters depending on the temperature of the fuel cell units measured by the temperature sensors (0042-0044). Shiga et al., do not teach a water purging device.

Tsuji teaches a water purging device (abstract). It teaches a control apparatus comprising a water purging device for purging water which is generated during a power generation operation in the fuel cell stack, and which is held in the fuel cell units (0007).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert the teachings of Tsuji into the teachings of Shiga et al., it would be advantageous to install a purging device into a fuel cell system, where the heating is increased after stopping the fuel cell operation, since steam will accumulate

Art Unit: 1795

in the fuel cell when the temperature rises, a gas purge outlet may be installed in the fuel cell.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Omoto et al., U.S. Pat. Application Pub. 2005/0112423 A1, teach purging in a fuel cell system when stopping operation of the power generation system.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to above claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AJM
/Angela J. Martin/
Examiner, Art Unit 1795